

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/008,640	11/08/2001	Robert McNeil	ОНН-Р-23	3204
. 75	590 03/10/2003			
Jon C. Gealow & Associates			EXAMINER	
2903 N. Bayvie McHenry, IL			OHH-P-23 3204 EXAMINER OLSZEWSKI, JOAN M	I, JOAN M
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3643	-
			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-/
A. Landa A. Alama	10/008,640	MCNEIL, ROBERT	/(\
' Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	Joan M. Olszewski	3643	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe			
THE REPLY FILED 19 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	THIS APPLICATION IN COND toid abandonment of this application at imely filed amendment which	ITION FOR ALLOWA ation. A proper reply to h places the applicatio	NCE. o a on in
a) The period for reply expires <u>3 months from the mailing date</u>			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content of	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. Se R 1.136(a) and the appropr unt of the fee. The appropi originally set in the final Off	ee MPEP iate extension riate extension fice action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) they raise new issues that would require furthe		see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simp	lifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed an	nendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT p	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were n	ewly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we			i an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examine	r.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper Ng (s)	_	
10. Other:	FER SUPERVISOR 3/6/03 TREVISOR	ER M. POON Y PATENT EXAMINER COY OFWITER 3600	



Continuation of 2. NOTE: In claim 1 the limitations of "watertight" and "for supplying power to said storage means and said sound transmission means", and the limitation in claim 4 "of moving water" raise new issues which would require further consideration and search. Further, the change of dependency of claim 2 to a newly added claim, presents a combination not previously considered and therefore raises new issues which would require further consideration and search, and additionally the newly added claims 10-19 would likewise require further consideration and search.